Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	an,	10/723,374	KRONICK ET AL.
	ar y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D.	1637
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Heather G. Calamita</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Tim Joyce</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 August 2006		Time:	
Type of Interview:			
Part I.		٠.	
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.		`	
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
State Of the			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A mistake in the in the restriction requirement mailed on May 17, 2006, was discussed. Groups I and III should have required a species election with respect to the probes. Applicants should have been required to elect a species of probes from a) nucleic acids or b) polypeptides. After speaking with Tim Joyce a species election for the probes was made. Applicants' elected to prosecute the species of nucleic acids..